LONG-TERM COMPLIANCE WITH CONTINUOUS POSITIVE AIRWAY PRESSURE IN PATIENTS WITH OBSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNEA SYNDROME

Siraj O. Wali, MBBS, FRCPC, FCCP

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is characterized by repetitive obstruction of upper airways during sleep, which leads to snoring and apneic episodes associated with oxygen desaturation. These episodes often end in arousal. Several chronic cardiovascular complications, such as systemic arterial hypertension,¹⁻⁵ pulmonary hypertension,⁶ heart failure, arrhythmias, ischemic heart disease and stroke, have been found to be related to OSAS.⁷⁻⁹ This may account for increased vascular mortality and sudden death in untreated patients.¹⁰⁻¹²

In 1981, Sullivan et al.¹³ described the use of continuous positive airway pressure via the nose to treat sleep apnea. Nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP) is highly effective in reversing the abnormal physiology in most patients, and is used in chronic treatment. It was reported that mortality rates in patients with OSAS return to normal with nCPAP therapy.¹⁰ It is generally a well-tolerated therapy with a reasonable costutility ratio,¹⁴ and it is now considered to be the treatment of choice. However, patients' compliance remains a substantial obstacle with nCPAP therapy.

Long-Term Compliance with Continuous Positive Airway Pressure

Several earlier studies¹⁵⁻¹⁷ reported compliance rates ranging from 64% to 82%. However, most of these studies used subjective data and basically depended on patient reports, or the use of a questionnaire, which may have been inaccurate. The first objective compliance study was reported in 1988,¹⁸ and recorded the machine run time via a built-in time counter. The mean duration of nCPAP use was reported to be 5.1 hours per night. The rate of acceptance, defined as the percentage of patients using the machine for more than three hours per night, was about 91%. However, in a similar study using a stricter definition of compliance, i.e., use of the nCPAP machine throughout the night, every night for more than five hours per night, Meurice et al.¹⁹ reported that of 44 patients with OSAS followed for a mean of 14 months, only 68% were found to be compliant. This group also reported that compliance was directly related to the severity of the syndrome at presentation, and the degree of clinical improvement with treatment. Adverse effects were not found to be a factor in determining long-term compliance.¹⁹

In another study of 47 patients with OSAS followed for six months,²⁰ 20% discontinued therapy within three months for various reasons. In the remaining patients, mean compliance, defined as the percentage of machine run time to hours of sleep reported, was found to be 68% of total sleep time. In a similar study, Kribbs et al.²¹ reported that of 35 patients, only 16 (46%) were found to be regular users of nCPAP, which was defined as at least four hours of nCPAP administration for more than 70% of the days monitored. The rate of use was significantly higher among educated and professional patients.

In a British study²² where nCPAP was supplied without charge, the mean machine run time was 4.7 hours per night in 54 patients. However, the mean machine run time of a subgroup of these patients (32 patients) was only 3.7 hours per night.²³ In contrast to Meurice et al.,¹⁹ compliance was reported to be significantly lower in patients with side effects, and no correlation was found between compliance and severity of sleep apnea syndrome, or response to therapy. In a more recent report,²⁴ compliance with nCPAP at one year was 62% (13/21). The compliant patients used the device a minimum of five nights a week for at least four hours a night, based on the information provided by the machine's built-in counter combined with self-reports.

In contrast to the above-mentioned reports, Pepin et al.²⁵ reported a high acceptance rate in OSAS patients. Eighty-eight percent of 193 patients used an nCPAP machine every night, with a mean machine run time of 6.5 hours per night, an acceptance rate that is substantially higher than that reported in other objective studies.

Concern has been raised regarding compliance,

From the Respiratory Division, Department of Medicine, King Khalid National Guard Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Address reprint requests and correspondence to Dr. Wali: Department of Medicine, King Khalid National Guard Hospital, P.O. Box 9515, Jeddah 21423, Saudi Arabia.

Accepted for publication 27 July 1997. Received 04 May 1997.

following a split-night study protocol (where the diagnosis of sleep apnea and nCPAP titration are both done on the same night). This was recently addressed,²⁶ and preliminary results showed that compliance is even superior to that reported in most earlier objective studies based on routine two-night protocols.

Although it is difficult to objectively compare these study results because of the differences in the definition of compliance, one must conclude that nCPAP compliance is generally unsatisfactory. This should not be surprising, since it is a common problem in other chronic illnesses requiring long-term therapy, such as bronchial asthma and epilepsy, where the rate of compliance has been reported to be 40% and 39% respectively.^{27,28} The relatively high cost of this device may potentially explain why compliance is bad in lower-income patients, but this is unlikely, since even in countries where an nCPAP machine is provided by the national health service free of charge, compliance is still poor.²² There is no clear predictive factor for compliance. Although use of nCPAP every night has been shown to be optimal,²⁹ the minimum number of hours per night that is actually required to ameliorate OSAS symptoms is not known. Engleman et al.²³ reported significant improvement in daytime symptoms with nCPAP, despite a mean machine run time of only 3.7 hours.

How To Improve Patients' Compliance

1) Patient education and close follow-up: There is little published data about education of patients and the role of follow-up in improving compliance. Explaining in simple terms how nCPAP is used, and asking patients to apply it while awake and before starting the titration study, may enhance familiarity, increase confidence, and so improve the rate of acceptance. Follow-up should include home visits and periodic clinical reassessment to evaluate machine and mask use. Technical problems and unwanted effects should be recognized and resolved promptly.

Although nCPAP is a relatively safe mode of therapy, minor complications are common. In a recent report of 193 OSAS patients treated with nCPAP,²⁵ dryness of the upper airway was present in 65%, sneezing and nasal drip in more than 35%, nasal congestion in 25%, local skin irritation in 30%, eye irritation in 20%, aerophagia in 16%, sinusitis in 8% and nosebleeding in 4%. There was no relationship between the level of pressure and the prevalence of unwanted effects. These minor complications should be treated once diagnosed. Humidification of the nCPAP airstream may relieve dryness of the nasal mucosa and oropharynx, although the study of Pepin et al.²⁵ showed no significant differences in unwanted effects when humidifiers were used. Eye irritation may be prevented by avoiding mask leak and using a well-fitting mask.²⁵ Changing the mask size or brand, or using nasal

pillows, have been demonstrated to reduce local skin irritation.^{25,30} Patients with nasal congestion and rhinorrhea may respond—particularly if they are atopic—to anti-inflammatory nasal sprays (antihistamines or steroids), decongestants or nasal anticholinergic agents.³¹

Such extensive patient education and follow-up may play an important role in the first few weeks of therapy, since it has been reported recently that frequency of nCPAP use may be predicted by its use in the first few weeks of prescription.^{21,22} NCPAP run time should also be regularly recorded in all patients for continuous objective assessment of compliance, since subjective evaluation based upon patients' reports has been shown to be an inaccurate estimate of actual daily use.^{19,21} This would allow early recognition of the infrequent user.

2) Oronasal continuous positive airway pressure: Patients who still cannot tolerate nCPAP because of nasal congestion despite a trial of medical therapy, or those with persistent dry mouth or mouth leak, may benefit from using oronasal continuous positive airway pressure with the mask covering both nose and mouth. This was recently reported to be effective in alleviating OSAS.^{32,33} Further studies are needed to confirm its effectiveness and safety before it becomes used routinely.

This is a self-titrating 3) Automatic nCPAP: (automatic) nCPAP system that senses changes in airway resistance and flow, which are affected by a number of variables such as body position, nasal congestion, sleep stage or sedatives, and adjusts mask pressure automatically. It may be superior to the conventional, manually adjusted nCPAP, where a single pressure is used throughout the night. The efficacy of self-titrating nCPAP is under current evaluation, and preliminary reports suggest that it is equally effective compared to manually adjusted nCPAP therapy in alleviating OSAS.³⁴ In one ongoing study of self-titrating nCPAP,³⁵ the number of apnea and hypopnea per hour (apnea-hypopnea index) decreased to less than five in 19 of 20 patients, nine of them requiring a mean airway pressure that was 46% lower than that determined by the conventional nCPAP. Nevertheless, because of mouth leaks, the pressure was overestimated in six patients. Although automatic nCPAP seems to be as effective as conventional nCPAP in some patients, it is not yet known whether its use will improve compliance.

4) Bilevel positive airway pressure: Some patients with OSAS complain of dyspnea and discomfort with nCPAP therapy, which decreases the acceptance rate. This is due to the increased work required for complete expiration against a high positive expiratory pressure. In such patients, a two-level positive airway pressure device with decreased expiratory pressure relative to inspiratory pressure is recommended. Reeves-Hoche et al.³⁶ recently compared bilevel positive airway pressure to nCPAP

compliance, and found that the mean night-time use of both over a 12-month period was not different (bilevel therapy: 4.9 hours, nCPAP: 5.0 hours), suggesting no difference in compliance. Therefore, the use of bilevel positive airway pressure to improve patients' acceptance remains a hypothesis that is yet to be proved.

Although nCPAP therapy is the most important therapeutic advance in the treatment of OSAS in the last decade, patients' compliance remains a significant problem. The challenge in the next decade is either to improve continuous positive airway pressure devices in order to increase the rate of acceptance, or to develop new methods of effective therapy.

References

- Millman RP, Redline S, Carlisle CC, Assaf AR, Levinson PD. Daytime hypertension in obstructive sleep apnea. Prevalence and contributing factors. Chest 1991;99:861-6.
- Hirshkowitz M, Karacan I, Gurakar A, Williams RL. Hypertension, erectile dysfunction, and occult sleep apnea. Sleep 1989;12:223-32.
- Williams AJ, Houston D, Finberg S, Lam DC, Kinney JL, Santiago S. Sleep apnea syndrome and essential hypertension. Am J Cardiol 1985;55:1019-22.
- Fletcher EC, DeBehnke RD, Lovoi MS, Gorin AB. Undiagnosed sleep apnea in patients with essential hypertension. Ann Intern Med 1985;103:190-5.
- Lavie P, Ben-Yosef R, Rubin AE. Prevalence of sleep apnea syndrome among patients with essential hypertension. Am Heart J 1984;108:373-6.
- Weitzenblum E, Krieger J, Apprill M, Vallee E, Ehrhart M, Ratomaharo J, et al. Daytime pulmonary hypertension in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;138:345-9.
- 7. Hung J, Whiteford EG, Persons RW, Hillman DR. Association of sleep apnea with myocardial infarction in men. Lancet 1990;336:261-4.
- Partinen M, Guilleminault C. Daytime sleepiness and vascular morbidity at seven-year follow-up in obstructive sleep apnea patients. Chest 1990;97:27-32.
- Palomaki H. Snoring and the risk of ischemic brain infarction. Stroke 1991;22:1021-5.
- He J, Kryger MH, Zorick FJ, Conway W, Roth T. Mortality and apnea index in obstructive sleep apnea. Experience in 385 male patients. Chest 1988;94:9-14.
- Partinen M, Jamieson A, Guilleminault C. Long-term outcome of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients: mortality. Chest 1988;94:1200-4.
- Rossner S, Lagerstrand L, Perrson HE, Sachs C. The sleep apnea syndrome in obesity: risk of sudden death. J Intern Med 1991;230:135-41.
- Sullivan CE, Berthon-Jones M, Issa FG, Eves L. Reversal of obstructive sleep apnea by continuous positive airway pressure applied through the nares. Lancet 1981;1:862-5.
- Tousignant P, Cosio M, Levy RD, Groome PA. Quality adjusted life years added by treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep 1994;17:52-60.
- Wadhorn RE, Herrick TW, Nguyen MC, O'Donnell AE, Sondro J, Potolicchio SJ. Long-term compliance with nasal continuous positive airway pressure therapy of obstructive sleep apnea. Chest 1990;97:33-8.
- Rolfe I, Olson LG, Saunders NA. Long-term acceptance of continuous positive airway pressure in obstructive sleep apnea. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;144:1130-3.

- Hoffstein V, Viner S, Mateika S, Conway J. Treatment of obstructive sleep apnea with nasal CPAP: patients' compliance, perception of benefit and side effects. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;145:841-5.
- Krieger J, Kurtz D. Objective measurement of compliance with nasal CPAP treatment for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. Eur Respir J 1988;1:436-8.
- Meurice JC, Dore P, Paquereau J, Neau JP, Ingrand P, Chavagnat JJ, et al. Predictive factors of long-term compliance with nasal continuous positive airway pressure treatment in sleep apnea syndrome. Chest 1994;105:429-33.
- Reeves-Hoche MK, Meck R, Zwillich CW. Nasal CPAP: an objective evaluation of patient compliance. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:149-54.
- Kribbs NB, Pack AI, Kline LR, Smith PL, Schwartz AR, Schubert NM, et al. Objective measurement of patterns of nasal CPAP use by patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993;147:887-95.
- Engleman HM, Martin SE, Douglas NJ. Compliance with CPAP therapy in patients with the sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome. Thorax 1994;49:263-6.
- Engleman HM, Martin SE, Deary IJ, Douglas NJ. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure treatment on daytime function in sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome. Lancet 1994;343:572-5.
- Lojander J, Maasilta P, Partinen M, Brander PE, Salmi T, Lehtonen H. Nasal-CPAP, surgery, and conservative management for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Chest 1996;110:114-9.
- Pepin JL, Leger P, Veale D, Langevin B, Robert D, Levy P. Side effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure in sleep apnea syndrome. Chest 1995;107:375-81.
- Fleury B, Rakotonanahary D, Tehindrazanarivelo AD, Hausser-Hauwer C, Lebeau B. Long-term compliance to continuous positive airway pressure therapy (nCPAP) set up during a split-night polsomnography. Sleep 1994;17:512-5.
- Chmelik F, Doughty A. Objective measurement of compliance in asthma treatment. Ann Allergy 1994;73:527-32.
- Cramer JA, Mattson RH, Prevey ML, Scheyer RD, Ouellette UL. How often is medication taken as prescribed? A novel assessment technique. JAMA 1989;261:3273-7.
- Kribbs NB, Pack AI, Kline LR, Gesty JE, Schuett JS, Henry JN, et al. Effects of one night without nasal CPAP treatment on sleep and sleepiness in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993;147:1162-8.
- American Thoracic Society. Indications and standards for use of nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in sleep apnea syndromes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;150:1738-45.
- Sullivan CE, Grunstein RR. Continuous positive airway pressure in sleep-disordered breathing. In: Kryger MH, Roth T, Dement WC, editors. Principles and practice of sleep medicine. 2nd edition. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1994:694-705.
- Prosise GL, Berry RB. Oral-nasal continuous positive airway pressure as a treatment for obstructive sleep apnea. Chest 1994;106:180-6.
- Sanders MH, Kern NB, Stiller RA, Strollo PJ, Martin TJ, Atwood CW. CPAP therapy via oronasal mask for obstructive sleep apnea. Chest 1994;106:774-9.
- Shama S, Wali S, Pouliot Z, Neufeld H, Peters M, Kryger M. Evaluation of a self-titrating continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) system in obstructive sleep apnea patients. Sleep1996;196:497-501.
- Berthon-Jones M. Feasibility of a self-setting CPAP machine. Sleep 1993;16:S120-S3.
- Reeves-Hoche MK, Hudgel D, Meck R, Zwillich CW. Continuous versus bilevel positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnea. AM J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;151:443-9.